

First of all let me state that I have no legal training or qualifications whatsoever. If I, simply reading this during Sunday breakfast, have several questions about the contents.....

I would also like to state that the fundamental thinking behind this document is wrong. If anything we are a small group of people who play trains and make trains. This is more fitting for the Incorporation Documents for something like ICI or EXXON. It is written in almost pure legalese and as such is a failure. The majority of the members cannot read legalese and would fail to understand the things within it. It is an example of someone writing something as **a statement of their ability to do so** and **not the usefulness of the contents** or fit for the purpose of the members. It is written for legalists to look for and "tick box" all the points and then filed -not to be read.

It is a prime example of document assembly in its worst form.

You may think that some of my comments are flippant -but they are not. If a 12 year old can see problems then the document should be examined thoroughly and if needed rewritten in a suitable manner for the purpose.

Yours Respectfully

R.Brades B.Ed. B.Sc. M.Sc. M.I.A.A.P. Ph.D.

Article 1.

Everything in this section relates back to the Companies Act 2006. Instead of referring to this **PROVIDE THE INFORMATION IN THE ARTICLES.**

Article 4.

1. Get out of Jail Free. Why is this here when it simply states human nature and freedom of will?
2. Get out of Jail Free. Why do you need immunity from the members if in the opinion of the members you have erred?

Article 5.

- 1.a. One person is all that is required for Director. This was going to be the Treasurer -when did this change?
- 1.b. No -the Secretary should **not** be director. It would interfere far too much with the duties of being the Secretary which should be **a position of authority with no power outside of the committee structure.**
- 1.c. The ordinary members should not be part of the committee although they have a voice -they should have no vote. Ideally they should change at each meeting thus rotating the positions throughout the membership.

2. No. Once the person has completed their 5 years they stand down, and can **never** return to the committee structure in any form other than as ordinary members for their **one** meeting stint.

5. No. The number should be fixed at **6**. This will force the members of the committee to be more adaptive and concise.

9. No. Think about **what** it says there...

Article 6.

1. legalistic clap trap -remove. This is very bad it references a part of the document forwards from its position rather than behind it -what is even more confusing is that it references the **next** article?

Article 7.

1. legalistic clap trap -remove. This possibly the most idiotic thing that I have ever read -why could it simply not read "when they all say YES!"

2. legalistic clap trap -remove. Mid nay endig verlitetisch noy verzoostingen?

3. legalistic clap trap -remove. I have a PHD and I cannot understand what it means...

Article 8.

2.c legalistic clap trap -remove. I could reference a famous Monty Python sketch...

4 legalistic clap trap -remove. If the person is not at the meeting -then they are not at the meeting. Detailing how they may "communicate" is meaningless.

Article 9.

1.b. legalistic clap trap -remove. I will be polite and say that it is meaningless....

2. This does not make sense. Then how do you know they are at the meeting -are telepathy and psi egons allowed????

3. This does not make sense. To be polite who wrote twaddle?

Article 10.

1. Yes. But the process should be automatic.

2. No. Quorum is 4 -otherwise it cannot be classed as a quorum...

3. a. No.

3.b. This should be the **only** option.

Article 11.

1. Should read -If in attendance the chairman will chair **all** committee meetings.
2. No. The order should be Treasurer, Secretary. If none of the triumvirate are present then **why** is there a meeting?

Article 12.

1. No. ONLY the Chairman has the double vote. If the item is so contentious it results in a tie then it should be studied in further detail.

Article 13.

What a load of legalistic rubbish.... The Committee member simply states "**I declare an Interest**" and is removed from voting -although they still have a voice. this method works for several multinationals at board room level.

Article 14.

No. Quite apart from the size of the filing system required digital storage methods are valid -but some notification should be taken after 5 years of storage to check for degradation.

Article 15.

This does not make sense. This allows the Committee members to rule as they see fit and given the legal right to **LIE** to other members of the committee and falsify records...

Article 16.

2. Where did the number 6 come from?
3. legalistic clap trap -remove. I know some of us are getting old but really...
4. legalistic clap trap -remove. Only applicable in situations of mass pandemic plague perhaps?

Article 17.

- 1.legalistic clap trap -remove or provide the assembled with a copy of the Companies Act 2006.

3. PLAIN ENGLISH PLEASE...

- 4.legalistic clap trap -remove. My wife is a registered "Chakra crystal healer" and my certificate of sanity from her is written in green wax crayon -does this count?

5.legalistic clap trap -remove. "No Comment"....

Article 21.

3. This does not make sense. Given what we watched last night does a cyber conversion unit relate to the last part -or are we talking about something else here? A Year 7 has asked if it relates to name change. I would ask if it relates to sex change or similar?

Article 22.

1. PLAIN ENGLISH PLEASE...

4. legalistic clap trap -remove. If I read this right I can attend the AGM from the local pub.

5.legalistic clap trap -remove. Do I reverse charges from the bar of the Red Cow -or do I use semaphore?

Article 23.

2. should read -No business is to be transacted at a General meeting if the persons attending do not constitute a quorum.

Article 24.

1.should read The chairman **WILL** chair General meetings if present.

2. No. The order should be Treasurer, Secretary. If none of the triumvirate are present then why is there a meeting?

3. legalistic clap trap -remove. This so convoluted I doubt the person writing it read it -just copied from other documents...

Article 26.

Just get rid of this load of rubbish.... Simply state Meeting can be adjourned for any reason required.

Article 27.

1. No. Ballot box and vote slips please.

4. **PLAIN ENGLISH PLEASE.... Je ne comprends pas un mot que vous écrivez!**

Article 28.

1. legalistic clap trap -remove. Again this is so convoluted as to be meaningless.

Article 29.

legalistic clap trap -remove entire section. Simply state that a poll may be called for sufficient reason.

Article 30.

legalistic clap trap -remove entire section. Removing any form of proxy removes this complete section.

Article 31.

legalistic clap trap -remove entire section. Removing any form of proxy removes this complete section.

Article 32.

REWRITE ENTIRE SECTION IN PLAIN ENGLISH. What is it that you are trying to say here?

Article 33.

REWRITE ENTIRE SECTION IN PLAIN ENGLISH. Simply state that post or e-mail are to be used....

Article 34.

In all my years of business I have never seen a document marked with a seal -is this section really needed???

Article 35.

1. **I do not agree with this in any shape size or form.** The membership should have the written **RIGHT** to examine any of the documents held by the Company. This includes notes and e-mails.

Article 36.

REWRITE ENTIRE SECTION IN PLAIN ENGLISH. Since one of the main arguments given by the committee for incorporation the level of english used should be that of the insurance documents -I use the Prudential and all the documentation there is in english -not legalese.

Article 37.

REWRITE ENTIRE SECTION IN PLAIN ENGLISH. Since one of the main arguments given by the committee for incorporation the level of english used should be that of the insurance documents -I use the Prudential and all the documentation there is in english -not legalese.

Article 38.

1. rewrite definition to avoid mish mash of measurement units. Should read a scale of 1:22.6 and a track gauge of 63.5mm

9 -get rid now. This is a catch all and we should be above such things...

10 -get rid now. This is both a catch all and get out of jail free statement. The object is to play trains not become a multinational...

11 -get rid now. Again this is catch all and get out jail free statement.

13. I originally thought I was joining an english version of Spur II Gruppe -but will the N2.5GA ever forgive their wayward child?

16 -get rid now. This is so vague as to mean anything from buying a press to make track from to inheriting a vintage rolls royce. The bit about trade unions is alarming as this would cause me to leave -Members of the Reform Church are not allowed to be members of Trade Unions or be present at any Trade Union assembly of any kind.

Article 39.

1. PLAIN ENGLISH PLEASE.... (then might be able to tell you what I think of it because at the moment I cannot determine what it means....)

Article 40.

1. No. The beneficiary should be stated viz: "Great Ormand Street Hospital for Sick Children" in that way there can be no doubt or legal manipulation.

END.....